IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.53 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.70 OF 2007

Shivaji Pandurang Patil ...Applicant.

Versus

State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.

....

Mr.S.D.Patil, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr.Rajesh More, APP, for the State.

....

CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.

DATED : 20th February, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The applicant has been convicted under Section 363 of IPC and sentenced to suffer imprisonment for two years. The applicant is now seeking bail.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant was on bail during the trial and he has not misused the liberty

granted to him. This statement is not controverted by the prosecution. The learned Advocate for the applicant has further submitted that the sentence of imprisonment is only two years and the appeal is not likely to be heard within such time. Hence, he has prayed that the applicant may be released on bail.

4. Looking to all these facts, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.

5. The applicant to be released on bail in the sum of Rs.20000/- with one or two sureties to make up the said amount. The applicant shall report to Hatkanangale Police Station, Kolhapur once in a month, till the disposal of his Appeal.

6. Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)